



P. Poli<sup>1</sup>, J. Joiner<sup>2</sup>, and D. Frank<sup>3</sup>

Data Assimilation Office Goddard Space Flight Center – Mailcode 910.3 Greenbelt, MD 20770 USA

<sup>1</sup> Joint Center for Earth Technology (JCET/UMBC). Permanent affiliation: Meteo France, CNRM Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup> NASA Laboratory for Atmospheres <sup>3</sup> Decisions Systems Technologies Inc. (DSTI)

> Image STS106-724-25 courtesy of Earth Sciences and Image Analysis Laboratory NASA Johnson Space Center (http://eol.jsc.nasa.gov) [note: image rotated 90°]





# Introduction

#### • <u>"Clear" areas:</u>

Depending on the size of the FOV and the criterion used: only represent 2%-10% of the total IR FOVs

#### • Without cloud assimilation:

Use of IR data for assimilation into Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) or climate models is restricted to clear-only

#### • <u>Cloud-clearing:</u>

Process that estimates clear radiances that would have been emitted by an atmosphere which would not contain clouds

Cloud-cleared radiances can then be assimilated





## Outline

- 1. The cloud-clearing problem
- 2. <u>Review:</u> Cloud-clearing approaches
- 3. <u>Study:</u> Impact of cloud-clearing on information content with AIRS
- 4. <u>Example:</u> Cloud-clearing with TOVS data in the Data Assimilation Office Data Assimilation System
- 5. Conclusions and Future Orientations



#### Lake Superior

#### Lake Michigan

## Hypothetical AIRS/AMSU footprints 3x3 AIRS FOVs + 1 AMSU = "golfball"

Image STS105-708-87 courtesy of Earth Sciences and Image Analysis Laboratory NASA Johnson Space Center (http://eol.jsc.nasa.gov) [note: image rotated 180°]





# 2. Cloud-clearing approaches: 2 types

#### • **Statistical approaches using clear measurements only:**

- radiances in cloud areas are treated as missing values
- in cloudy areas, radiances are constructed from neighboring clear radiances
- constructed radiances obey pre-defined properties such as latitudinal gradient, spatial variability, and spatial smoothness similar to those of measured adjacent clear radiances [Andretta et al., 1990; Cuomo et al., 1993; Rizzi et al., 1994].





# Physical cloud-clearing approaches

- **Physical approaches using clear and cloudy measurements:**
- (1) decompose the measured upwelling radiance into a sum:
  - $Clear\ component\ +\ Cloudy\ component\ (one\ component\ per\ cloud\ formation)$
- (2) assume that clear and cloudy components (incl. cloud emissivities) are constant within adjacent FOVs; only the cloud fractions vary
- (3) using adjacent FOVs and several channels, the clear component can be retrieved

*No assumptions* about the optical properties of the clouds











# Multiple Cloud Formation and Noise Amplification

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{clear}} = \mathbf{R}_{1} + \eta_{1} (\mathbf{R}_{1} - \mathbf{R}_{2}) + \eta_{2} (\mathbf{R}_{1} - \mathbf{R}_{3}) + \dots + \eta_{K} (\mathbf{R}_{1} - \mathbf{R}_{K+1})$$

(K+1) FOVs are required to solve for  $R_{clear}$  with K cloud formations.

<u>**CAVEAT:**</u> reconstructed radiance  $R_{clear}$  may contain an amplified random (measurement) noise  $\sigma$ ?

$$\sigma'^{2} = [(1 + \eta_{1} + \eta_{2} + ... + \eta_{K})^{2} + \eta_{1}^{2} + \eta_{2}^{2} + ... + \eta_{K}^{2}] \sigma^{2}$$

 $\sigma$ : random (measurement) noise of radiances  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_{K+1}$ 





# Practical implementation of cloud-clearing procedures

- (1) estimate the clear-column radiance for a subset of IR channels. Can use MW channels and/or *a priori* information from NWP
- (2) Invert the previous equation for a subset of IR channels to retrieve  $\eta_1, ..., \eta_K$  [e.g. least-square solver]
- (3) Recalculate  $R_{clear}$  for ALL the IR channels
- (4) Retrieve temperature/constituent/surface information from  $R_{clear}$
- (5) From these retrievals repeat (1)-(4) until convergence is achieved

<u>2 FOVs, K=1:</u> HIRS2/MSU [McMillin and Dean, 1982;Susskind et al., 1984]

<u>3 FOVs, K=2:</u> HIRS2/MSU/SSU [Joiner and Rokke, 2001]

<u>4 FOVs, K=3:</u> 18-channel grating [Chahine et al., 1977]





## 3. <u>Study:</u> Information Content and Cloud-Clearing with AIRS

• Temperature and/or constituent information is retrieved from real (noisy) measured radiances, using conventional least-squares methods or more evolved variational methods.

• Under variational hypotheses (normal and un-biased errors in background and observations), error covariance matrix of the analyzed retrievals:

 $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{a}} = (\underline{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} + \underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathrm{T}}\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{H}})^{-1}$ 

[e.g. Rodgers, 1990]

- <u>B</u>: background (initial estimate) error covariance matrix
- $\underline{R}$ : observation (IR radiances) error covariance matrix
- <u>H</u>: tangent linear model of the observation operator (radiative transfer code)
- diagonal terms of P<sup>a</sup>: variance of errors in retrieved quantities (temperature, specific humidity,...)

- off-diagonal terms of P<sup>a</sup>: covariance between the errors in the retrievals (inter-level correlation or temperature/constituent ambiguity)





# Brightness Temperature Noise CLEAR CASE





1000

0.0









# Simulated AIRS retrieval errors with cloud-cleared radiances







# Simulated AIRS retrieval errors with cloud-cleared radiances

Retrieval achieves ~90-100% of the

error reduction obtained for the clear case

Retrieval does not reduce much the errors as what would be obtained with cl radiances

Ratio between  $\sigma(bkg)-\sigma(cl.cl. ret.)$   $nd \sigma(bkg)-\sigma(clear ret.)_{\geq}$ %] for the temperature 'or std. dev. at 200hPa



More contrast yields better quality retrievals Only a marginal reduction of error in the retrievals as compared the background when both N1 and N2 > 80%





# Cloud-clearing: an extrapolation ?

 $R_{clear} = R_1 + \eta (R_1 - R_2)$  where  $\eta = N_1 / (N_2 - N_1)$ 





#### Lake Superior



Image STS105-708-87 courtesy of Earth Sciences and Image Analysis Laboratory NASA Johnson Space Center (http://eol.jsc.nasa.gov) [note: image rotated 180°]







#### **Cloud-clearing with TOVS data: operational at DAO since 2001**

#### **Previous Implementations**

New Implementation



Variational approach using all channels to simultaneous estimate cloud-clearing parameters and atmospheric and surface parameters

1. Simplicity

- 2. Consistency
- 3. Quality Control Built in
- Physically-based systematic error correction

[Joiner and Rokke, 2000]





#### **Cloud-clearing with TOVS data: operational at DAO since 2001**









## **5.** Conclusions and Future Directions

- Cloud-clearing: allows the use of cloudy IR data since no other method is ready for operational data assimilation
- As of today, cloud assimilation still requires further research:
  - requires good knowledge of the optical properties of the cloud
  - more costly
- Cloud-clearing has some intrinsic limitations
  - needs sufficient contrast and pixels <80% cloudy
  - should not be applied to clear channels peaking above the cloud
- With AIRS and high spectral resolution sounders: cloud-clearing will need careful attention (channel selection)
- Future: assimilation of cloudy radiances ... no cloud clearing?