Supporting Alaska Region National Weather Service Volcanic Ash Advisory Center and Weather Forecast Offices with IMAPP Processing

Justin Sieglaff¹ Michael Pavolonis² Corey Calvert¹ Jordan Gerth¹

1 – UW-CIMSS 2 – NOAA/NESDIS/STAR







Outline

- Data processing flow/history
- GOES-R/JPSS Proving Ground (PG) Product Descriptions and Examples
- Feedback from Operations to Research
- Looking to the future

Processing Flow

Jo source and a set of the set o

Data are distributed to Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau NWS WFOs and NWS Alaska Region HQ

Data latency: Processing at UW-CIMSS is complete 10-40 minutes after MODIS date/time stamp. Most of the latency is from data transfer—and it varies. Total latency is 30-60 minutes by the time data reaches NWS work stations.

Volume: 11-20 successfully geo-located Terra and Aqua granules per day.

UAF-GINA processing began Fall 2010; since have added direct broadcast antennas from University of Wisconsin, US Park Service in Utah and plan on adding Hawaii antenna later in 2013.

GOES-R and JPSS Proving Ground

- Demonstrate new products/algorithms to National Weather Service forecasters
 - Ensure Day 1 Readiness
 - Provide iterative feedback to improve products
- Alaska region is focused on two GOES-R/JPSS product suites
 - Volcanic Ash Detection and Physical Retrievals
 - Fog / Low Stratus Detection

Volcanic Ash Detection and Physical Retrievals

- Automated ash cloud detection—Bayesian Model utilizes spectral and spatial quantities to mimic trained human analyst
- Retrieve physical properties of ash clouds
 - Ash cloud-top height
 - Column ash mass loading
 - Ash particle effective radius
- Ash detection and physical retrievals are input into automated ash alert system being developed by NOAA/UW-CIMSS



Volcanic Cb

SO, Thermal Anomaly

Ash/Dust Cloud

A manual analysis of this image suggests the horizontal boundary depicted in white

IR Window Imagery and Ash Probability



The horizontal extent of the ash cloud determined by GOES-R/JPSS automated computer algorithm agrees very well with the bounds derived from manual analysis of multispectral imagery

While a very well trained human will most often slightly out-perform the computer algorithm, the automated detection results are accurate enough to be used to issue alerts when an ash cloud is found (and eventually initialize dispersion models)



•An optimal estimation approach is used to retrieve the ash cloud temperature, 11 μ m emissivity, and $\beta(12/11 \mu m)$

•The ash cloud height can be estimated from the cloud temperature using an atmospheric profile and the mass loading and effective particle radius can be estimated from the 11 μ m emissivity and $\beta(12/11 \ \mu$ m)

•A negative split-window BTD need not be present. See Pavolonis et al. (2013) for details.

The retrieval solution is determined from a weighted combination of the difference between the measurements and forward model and the first guess

 $\delta x = S_x \{ K^T S_y^{-1} [y - f(x)] + S_a^{-1} (x_a - x) \}$

False Color Imagery (12-11µm, 11-8.5µm, 11µm) IR Window Imagery and Ash/Dust Cloud Height Terra MODIS (12/17/2012 - 15:55 UTC) Terra MODIS (12/17/2012 – 15:55 UTC) **()** Annotation Key (annotation colors are not related to colors in underlying image) 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 2 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 4 6 11 µm BT [K] Ash/Dust Height [km, ASL] IR Window Imagery and Ash/Dust Effective Radius Terra MODIS (12/17/2012 – 15:55 UTC) IR Window Imagery and Ash/Dust Loading Terra MODIS (12/17/2012 - 15:55 UTC) **1** 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 320 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 320 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 11 µm BT [K] Ash/Dust Effective Radius [µm] 11 µm BT [K] Ash/Dust Loading [g/m²]

Example of physically derived quantities include ash cloudtop height (upper right), ash effective radius (lower left), and column mass loading (lower right).

Physical retrievals utilize multispectral optimal estimation approach using 11, 12, and 13.3 µm channels

Automated Alert Example from Pavlof Volcano Eruption 16 May 2013

📹 Thunderbird	File	Edit	View
00			
🖾 Inbox			NOAA
🛃 Get Mail 🔻 🖋 Write		Chat 🖣	h Reply
From Mike Pavolonis			

Subject NOAA/CIMSS Volcanic Cloud Alert

To Justin Sieglaff

@**********VOLCANIC ALERTS********* STARTING DATE/TIME OF IMAGE: 2013-05-16 PRIMARY INSTRUMENT: Aqua MODIS WMO SPACECRAFT ID: 784 LOCATION/ORBIT: LEO L1 FILE: MYD021KM.A2013136.1350.005.201 VOLCANO DATABASE: /data/common//VOLCAT_ NUMBER OF ASH CLOUD ALERTS: 1 NUMBER OF VOLCANIC CD ALERTS: 0 NUMBER OF VOLCANIC CD ALERTS: 0 NUMBER OF SO2 CLOUD ALERTS: 0

REPORT WITH IMAGES: http://volcano.ssec.wisc.edu/alert/repo

POSSIBLE VOLCANIC ASH CLOUD FOUND Alert Status: Newly detected feature Latitude of Radiative Center: 55.367 [d Longitude of Radiative Center: -161.736 Mean Viewing Angle: 46.50 [degrees] Mean Solar Zenith Angle: 95.30 [degrees Nearby Volcanoes (meeting alert criteri Pavlof(11.07 km) [Th

Pavlof Sister(11.73 km) [Th Emmons Lake(21.86 km) Dutton(40.52 km) Dana(44.93 km)

Cloud Object Probability: 99.99996 [%] Median Probability of Object Pixels: 98 Percent Unambiguous Pixels: 16.15809 [% Maximum Height [AMSL]: 5.8 [km] (19175. 90th Percentile Height [AMSL]: 4.6 [km] Mean Tropopause Height [AMSL]: 8.8 [km] Total Mass: .001010 [Tg] Median Effective Particle Radius: 4.53 Total Area: 606.44 [km²]

Geographic Regions of Nearby Volcanoes: VAAC Regions of Nearby Volcanoes: Ancho





False Color Image (12-11, 11-3.9, 11)



Automated Alert Example from Cleveland Volcano Eruption 4 May 2013



Fog and Low Stratus

- Automated, probabilistic approach combines satellite observations/derived products (cloud-top phase, brightness temperature differences, etc.) and numerical weather prediction model data to determine probability of various aviation flight rules (IFR, LIFR, MVFR)
- Additionally cloud thickness (cloud top heightcloud base height) is also computed

Fused Fog/Low Cloud Detection Approach



*****IMPORTANT:** Other sources of relevant data (e.g. sfc obs) influence results through the model fields

GOES-R Fog/Low Stratus (FLS) Products

- The GOES-R FLS algorithm calculates the probability that IFR/LIFR conditions are present for a given satellite pixel and estimates the thickness of the cloud layer (cloud top – cloud base)
- MODIS data is important over Alaska because its 1 km spatial resolution provides much more detail than the larger GOES footprint (>4 km) at high latitudes
- The higher spatial resolution from MODIS makes identifying small-scale FLS possible where GOES is most useful identifying large-scale events



Feedback Improves Algorithms

- Feedback from Anchorage VAAC identified unexpected 'holes' in volcanic ash detection and retrievals for explosive volcanic eruptions where the ash cloud was dominated by ice particles—this was addressed and these holes are now filled (next slides)
- Alaska region FLS feedback suggested the GOES display color palate wasn't ideal for Alaska given very cold backgrounds (GOES instrument noise)—so a Alaska specific FLS color display was developed—MODIS does not have this problem



August 8, 2008 (13:40 UTC): Kasatochi volcanic cloud

The optically thick center of the Kasatochi cloud contains ice particles in addition to volcanic ash and thus it cannot be distinguished from meteorological clouds and the ash cloud properties are not estimated.



August 8, 2008 (13:40 UTC): Kasatochi volcanic cloud

Artificial holes in volcanic clouds are automatically identified and a Cressman interpolation scheme is used to fill them.

Future Plans

- Add Suomi NPP VIIRS to processing stream
 - Volcanic Ash Detection and Retrieval Suite
 - Fog / Low Stratus Suite
- Higher infrared resolution (750 m) of Suomi NPP VIIRS will benefit:
 - Detecting spatially small volcanic eruptions
 - Small scale terrain-induced ceiling/visibility aviation hazards
- Increased data frequency
 - Especially with Gilmore Creek DB antenna since Suomi NPP VIIRS has priority over MODIS Aqua
- Begin processing Hawaii DB antenna feed late 2013

Questions?

Thank you!

Contact: Justin Sieglaff (justin.sieglaff@ssec.wisc.edu) Mike Pavolonis (<u>michael.pavolonis@noaa.gov</u>) Corey Calvert (<u>corey.calvert@ssec.wisc.edu</u>) Jordan Gerth (jordan.gerth@ssec.wisc.edu)

References

- Pavolonis, M., A. Heidinger, and J. Sieglaff, 2013: Automated retrievals of volcanic ash and dust cloud properties from upwelling infrared measurements, *J. Geophysical Research*, **118(3)**, 1436-1458.
- Pavolonis, M. J., 2010: Advances in extracting cloud composition information from spaceborne infrared radiances: A robust alternative to brightness temperatures Part I: Theory, *J. Applied Meteorol. And Climatology*, **49(9)**, 1992-2012.
- Pavolonis, M. J., W.F. Feltz, A.K. Heidinger, G. Gallina, 2006: A daytime complement to the reverse absorption technique for improved automated detection of volcanic ash. *J. Oceanic and Atmos. Tech.*, **23**, 1422-1444.