



#### A Method for Correcting for Telescope Spectral Transmission in the Geosynchronous Imaging Fourier Transform Spectrometer (GIFTS)

John D. Elwell, Deron K. Scott Space Dynamics Laboratory / Utah State University

#### **GIFTS**

- GIFTS mission is to provide water vapor, wind, temperature, and trace gas profiles from geosynchronous orbit
  - Requires highly accurate radiometric and spectral calibration
- Radiometric calibration will be performed during ground calibration and updated in-flight using two onboard cavity blackbody in-flight calibrators (IFCs) and cold space
- Presentation describes how we will correct for two terms in the responsivity calibration



#### GIFTS Imaging Interferometer Specifications

- Two IR focal planes
  - Short/midwave
    - 4.4 to 6.1 µm
    - 1 K absolute accuracy for scenes >240 K
  - Longwave
    - 8.8 to 14.6 µm
    - 1 K absolute accuracy for scenes >190 K
  - 128 x 128 pixels, 110  $\mu m$  pitch, 4-km pixel footprints at nadir
  - 7 spectral resolutions from 0.6  $cm^{-1}$  to 38  $cm^{-1}$
  - 0.2 K reproducibility





## **GIFTS Optical Schematic**



- $\tau_{2}$  Transmissions (reflectances) of elements
- $\epsilon_2$  Emissivities of elements
- B<sub>2</sub> Planck radiances at element temperatures
- $C_{\rm f}$   $\,$  Complex response to emissions of the rear optics  $\,$
- R<sub>f</sub> System responsivity



## **GIFTS Optical Schematic**



Need to correct for:

 $\begin{aligned} \tau_t &= \text{signal transmission of the telescope mirrors} \\ \tau_t &= \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \cdot \tau_3 \qquad \tau_t = (1 - \epsilon_1) \cdot (1 - \epsilon_2) \cdot (1 - \epsilon_3) \\ \tau_m &- \text{transmission of the blackbody pick-off mirror} \end{aligned}$ 



#### **Radiometric Calibration**

Scene radiance using inflight calibrators<sup>1</sup>:

$$N = \left(\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_t}\right) \cdot Re\left(\frac{C_e - C_s}{R_f}\right) + B_s \qquad \text{where} \qquad R_f = \frac{C_h - C_c}{B_h \cdot \epsilon_h - B_c \cdot \epsilon_c}$$

where: N Computed scene radiance

- B<sub>h</sub>, B<sub>c</sub> Planck radiances of hot and cold references
- $\epsilon_h, \epsilon_c$  Emissivities of hot and cold references (assumed equal)
- B<sub>s</sub> Planck function of cold space (effectively 0 for GIFTS)

$$C_h, C_c, C_e, C_{s, C_f}$$

Measured responses to hot and cold reference, scene, space, and structure (Back end temperatures assumed constant between IFC views)

- $\tau_m$  Blackbody viewing mirror transmission (assumed constant temp)
- $\tau_t$  Telescope transmission (reflectivity)



Revercomb, et al., "On Orbit Calibration of the Geostationary Imaging Fourier Transform Spectrometer (GIFTS)", Calcon 200



## $\tau_{m}$ and $\tau_{t}$ Measurement

- Fold mirror  $\tau_m$  and telescope  $\tau_t$  will change during flight, and such changes must be periodically measured
- The experiments for deriving  $\tau_t$  and  $\tau_m$  will be performed quarterly



#### Assumptions Made in Measuring $\tau_{\rm m}$ and $\tau_{\rm t}$

- Absorption of gold-coated aluminum telescope mirrors is negligible
- Mirror reflectivities (transmissions) can be computed if mirror emissivities are known

$$\tau = 1 - \varepsilon$$

- Mirror emissivities can be estimated by measuring mirror emissions and the mirror temperatures
- $\tau_m$  can be determined in-flight by viewing either IFC at two different fold mirror temperatures



## Measuring $\tau_{\rm m}$ Experimentally

- Collect data viewing an in-flight calibrator at two different flip-in mirror temperatures
- By taking the difference of measured emissions at two different fold mirror temperatures,  $\tau_m$  can be computed as:

$$\tau_{\rm m} = \left[ {\rm Re} \left[ \frac{\left( {\rm B}_{\rm h} - {\rm B}_{\rm c} \right)}{\left( {\rm C}_{\rm h} - {\rm C}_{\rm c} \right)} \cdot \frac{\left( {\rm C}_{\rm m1} - {\rm C}_{\rm m2} \right)}{\left( {\rm B}_{\rm m1} - {\rm B}_{\rm m2} \right)} \right] + 1 \right]^{-1}$$

• B<sub>m1</sub>, B<sub>m2</sub>, C<sub>m1</sub>, C<sub>m2</sub> are the Planck radiances and the measured responses to the cold blackbody with the fold mirror at two different temperatures



## $\tau_{\text{m}}$ Uncertainties

- Principle uncertainties in measuring  $\tau_{\rm m}$ 

		2000 cm <sup>-1</sup>	900 cm <sup>-1</sup>
Error Source	Error	<u>τ<sub>m</sub> Uncertainty</u>	<u>τ<sub>m</sub> Uncertainty</u>
T <sub>m1</sub>	1K	0.016	0.046
T <sub>m2</sub>	1K	0.182	0.107
T <sub>h</sub>	0.1 K	0.013	0.010
T <sub>c</sub>	0.1 K	0.005	0.007
8 <sub>h</sub>	0.002	0.008	0.014
Е <sub>с</sub>	0.002	0.002	0.008
RSS		0.184%	0.118%



## **Measuring** $\tau_t$ **Experimentally**

- Collect a minimum of three measurements with each optical element at different temperatures
- The following steps will be performed to collect data
  - Turn off telescope cooling loop and collect data for 24 hours
  - Collect emission data by viewing cold space
  - After each emissions data collection, close the fold mirror and collect tail-end optics emissions data by looking at the cold blackbody



# Deriving $\tau_t$

• Cold space response:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}} = \left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{f}}$$

- B<sub>s</sub> Planck radiance of space (4 K), assumed to be 0
- $\tau_t$  Telescope transmission
- L<sub>t</sub> Total emission from telescope
- R<sub>f</sub> System responsivity
- C<sub>f</sub> Complex emission from optics behind the telescope

With  $B_s=0$ , the unknowns are the telescope emission, Lt, and the complex emissions from the rear optics, Cf



# Deriving $\tau_t$

 C<sub>f</sub> can be measured for each telescope emission measurement by looking at the cold IFC

$$C_{f} = C_{c} - \left[ \left[ \left( B_{c} \cdot \epsilon_{c} \right) + B_{str} \cdot \left( 1 - \epsilon_{c} \right) \right] \cdot \tau_{m} + B_{m} \cdot \left( 1 - \tau_{m} \right) \right] \cdot R_{f}$$

• L<sub>t</sub>, total telescope emission, is the sum:

$$L_t = B_{pm} \cdot \epsilon_{pm} \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon_{m1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon_{m2}\right) + B_{m1} \cdot \epsilon_{m1} \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon_{m2}\right) + B_{m2} \cdot \epsilon_{m2}$$

• This can be linearized with the substitutions:

 $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_{pm} \cdot \left(1 - \varepsilon_{m1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \varepsilon_{m2}\right) \qquad \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_{m1} \cdot \left(1 - \varepsilon_{m2}\right) \qquad \alpha_3 = \varepsilon_{m2}$ 



## Deriving $\tau_{t}$

A set of simultaneous linear equations can be set up to solve for L<sub>t</sub>

$$\frac{C_{s} - C_{f}}{R_{f}} = B_{pm} \cdot \alpha_{1} + B_{m1} \cdot \alpha_{2} + B_{m2} \cdot \alpha_{3}$$

- The values on the left side are known
- The B values are computed from element temperatures
- With more than three samples, these equations are then solved using a least-squared error approach for  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\alpha_3$
- The resulting mirror emissivities can be computed as:

$$\varepsilon_{pm} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\left(1 - \varepsilon_{m2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \varepsilon_{m3}\right)} \qquad \qquad \varepsilon_{m1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\left(1 - \varepsilon_{m2}\right)} \qquad \qquad \varepsilon_{m2} = \alpha_3$$



Calcon 2003

September 15-18, 2003

## $\tau_{t}$ Uncertainties

• Principle uncertainties in measuring  $\tau_t$ 

		2000 cm <sup>-1</sup>	900 cm <sup>-1</sup>
Error Source	<u>Error</u>	$\tau_t  \underline{\text{Uncertainty}}$	$\tau_t  \underline{\text{Uncertainty}}$
$T_{pm}$	1K	0.067	0.030
Tm1	1K	0.064	0.030
Tm2	1K	0.067	0.031
Τ <sub>h</sub>	0.1 K	0.021	0.016
Τ <sub>c</sub>	0.1 K	0.007	0.011
ε <sub>h</sub>	0.002	0.006	0.011
€ <sub>C</sub>	0.002	0.002	0.006
RSS		0.117	0.057



#### **Overall Radiance Calibration**

• The combined uncertainty of the radiance calibration and derivation of  $\tau_t$  and  $\tau_m$  has been modeled





Calcon 2003

September 15-18, 2003

- Responsivity must be computed separately for each pixel, therefore multiple scans must be collected to do any averaging
- $\tau_m$  and  $\tau_t$  are applicable to all pixels
  - A single scan of interferometer data will provide about 16000 samples over which  $\tau_m$  and  $\tau_t$  can be averaged
- Still to be addressed
  - Residual nonlinearity
  - Changes in responsivity over 24-hour telescope thermal cycle

