

+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

+

-





NWCSAF/High Resolution Winds AMV Software for Geostationary and Polar satellites Status in 2023

8-12 May 2023

Sixteenth International Winds Workshop, Montréal, Canada

Javier García-Pereda (AEMET)

Nina Håkansson, Sara Hörnquist (SMHI)

+

+

+

-

Index Agencia Estatal de Meteorologia	A EUMETSAT NWCSAF SUPPORT TO NOWCASTING AND VERY SHORT RANGE FORECASTING
I. NWC/GEO-High Resolution Winds (Geostationary)	
II. NWC/PPS-High Resolution Winds (Polar)	
III. Preparation for MTG-I satellites	
IV. Plans for NWCSAF/CDOP4 phase (up to 2027)	
V. NWCSAF/HRW as "Stand alone AMV software"	
Sixteenth International Winds Workshop – Montréal, Canada, May 2023	2
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + +



- High Resolution Winds software provides a detailed calculation of "Atmospheric Motion Vectors (AMVs)" and "Trajectories", inside the NWCSAF software packages for geostationary and polar satellites.
- Latest geostationary version released in April 2022 (NWC/GEO-HRW v6.2, inside NWC/GEO v2021 software package).

100-200 hPa

200-300 hPa

400-500 bPa

600-700 hPa





— 200-300 hPa 400-500 hPa 600-700 hPa 800-900 hPa High Resolution Winds for NWC/GEO v2021, 27 Jan 2023 12:00Z AMVs considering level & speed (up); Trajectories for last 1 & 3 hours (down)

+ +

+ +

+

+

+ +

+ +

+

+



Similar validation against radiosonde winds for all satellites, with smaller values for Himawari-8/9 and GOES-R series with respect to MSG (a good perspective for the use with MTG-I in the coming months).

NWC/GEO-HRW v6.2 (v2021) AMVs	MSG-2 Jul'09-Jun'10 Europe	GOES-13 Jul'10-Jun'11 CONUS	Himawari-8 Mar'18-Aug'18 China-Korea- Japan	GOES-16 May'19-Jul'19 CONUS
NC	1164357	633574	1364409	1351066
SPD [m/s]	15.54	20.48	20.32	20.41
NBIAS	-0.07	-0.03	+0.06	+0.05
NMVD (ALL LAYERS)	0.33	0.29	0.29	0.28
NRMSVD (100-1000 hPa)	0.40	0.37	0.36	0.36



tcia Estatal de Meteorologia

+

+

+ +

+

+

+

Updates in NWC/GEO-HRW latest version:

+

+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

-

+

+ +

+

-

+

- 2. Better distribution of AMVs in high/medium/low levels
 - ➔ For a better characterization of all levels of the troposphere in which AMVs are calculated (approx. between 140 and 1000 hPa).
 - ➔ For all satellites the proportion of medium+low level AMVs has increased at least a 9%, and for MSG satellites, an optimal distribution of high/medium/low level AMVs of 35%/32%33% has been reached.
 - ➔ To do this, the distance between tracers is dependent on the cloud type - 4 times shorter for AMVs related to: medium level clouds

low level clouds clear air.



+

+

+ +

+ +

+

+

+

+

+

+

+

Updates in NWC/GEO-HRW latest version:

- 3. Optimisation of the running time of NWC/GEO-HRW
 - Up to 30% reduction:

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

- Use of less repetitive and more effective operations and functions.
- Optimization of the more time consuming parts of the algorithm: Examples:
 - a) The "tracking" now considers "tracking areas" with sizes based on km:
 - They are calculated for each tracer considering the real size in km of corresponding pixels (with smaller "tracking areas" when nearer to the Earth disk edge).
 - b) In the "quality control":
 - Reference AMVs which can be used for the temporal/spatial quality tests have been better delimited for a quicker processing.

External validation of NWC/GEO-HRW AMVs in the "<u>NWPSAF AMV Monitoring</u>": http://nwpsaf.eu/monitoring/amv/23_01/density_ukv.html http://nwpsaf.eu/monitoring/amv/23_01/map_ukv.html

Monthly verification around the British Isles, of NWCSAF/HRW AMVs (left) in comparison with Eumetsat/MPEF AMVs (right)

NWCSAF/HRW AMVs

show in general:

- Better AMV density (1-2 orders of magnitude larger).
- Better OB-BG statistics (except for a few cases of BIAS).



Meteosat-11 IR 10.8, January 2023, Above 400 hPa

Met

EUMETSAT

VERY SHORT RANGE FORECASTIN



Met Office: Meteosat-11 IR 10.8 hl, January 2023







25W 20W 15W 10W 5W







In 2022, the NWCSAF financed the "Fourth AMV intercomparison study", in which the same 6 institutions involved in the previous occasion took part.

- > AMV algorithms are becoming more similar when compared to results from previous Intercomparison studies.
- > The comparisons to Aeolus and aircraft winds also result in consistent results.
- > However, there are still differences and elements to further investigate.
- ** More info in the later presentation by Dave Santek in this workshop.

Table 8-16: Experiment 2b: All AMVs (CQI >= 50) comparison to rawinsondes within 150 km. N= number of matches; P bias = pressure bias; P RMS = pressure RMS; SpdBias = speed bias; SpdRMS= speed RMS; DirBias = wind direction bias; VecRMS = vector RMS.

+ +

+ +

-

+

Site	N	P bias	P RMS	Spd bias	Spd RMS	Dir bias	Vec RMS
BRZ	3996	0.09	13.99	0.08	4.82	-0.35	6.95
EUM	4033	0.54	14.64	-0.73	6.74	3.09	8.73
JMA	5647	0.25	13.91	-0.03	3.96	0.73	5.59
KMA	3370	0.33	12.80	-2.12	7.23	0.11	8.85
NOA	2328	0.77	13.52	-0.09	4.49	-1.62	6.13
NWC	5041	0.55	14.40	0.40	5.37	3.70	6.89

Table 8-23: Experiment 2b: All AMVs (CQI >= 80) compared to background grid: NWP analysis wind. N = total number of AMVs; BFN = number of AMVs with best fit level; VO = mean vector difference; RMSE = root mean square error; VOAF = mean vector difference after best fit; RMSEAF = root mean square error after best fit.

EXP	N	BFN	VO	RMSE	VOAF	RMSEAF
BRZ	25843	5357	3.22	4.11	2.79	3.71
EUM	45173	13432	4.26	6.31	3.57	5.81
JMA	94720	35004	2.34	3.00	1.94	2.60
KMA	40954	13816	4.11	5.93	3.30	5.32
NOA	28056	9281	3.13	3.98	2.48	3.37
NWC	91483	26317	2.96	3.91	2.39	3.31

Verification of AMVs against radiosonde winds (up) and NWP wind analysis (down).

- BRZ: CPTEC/INPE (Brazil)
- EUM: Eumetsat
- JMA: JMA
- KMA: KMA
- NOA: NOAA
- NWC: NWCSAF/HRW

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +



+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

10

+

+

- A requirement from NWCSAF users was raised in March 2018 to extend <u>NWCSAF/High Resolution Winds to polar satellites</u>. Reasons for this:
 - European Nordic weather services (related to the regional MetCoOp HARMONIE model) wish more wind data for NWP assimilation at high latitudes, with a stringent timeliness requirement of 15 minutes. (No other polar AMV dataset seems to satisfy this timeliness requirement).
 - With both options (NWC/GEO-HRW and NWC/PPS-HRW) the user can obtain AMVs with a very homogeneous algorithm in all corners of the world with a high update frequency:
 4-6 times per hour throughout all the geostationary ring.
 - Several times per hour (depending on latitude) with polar satellites.

This can be very useful in global NWP models or climatic studies!

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

+

+

+

+



+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

- NWCSAF has already delivered to users two versions of its NWC/PPS-HRW software for polar AMVs:
 - → NWC/PPS-HRW v7.P (inside NWC/PPS v2021 software in November 2021).
 - → NWC/PPS-HRW v7.Q (inside NWC/PPS v2021.3 software in March 2023).
- AMVs are calculated from <u>reprojected polar images in static regions of different sizes</u>, in a similar way to what is done by NWC/GEO-HRW for geostationary satellites. → 90% of the code is exactly equivalent for both implementations.
 - ➔ HRW outputs equivalent for both geostationary and polar AMVs.

Someone already using NWC/GEO-HRW can use NWC/PPS-HRW very quickly.

NWC/PPS-HRW prepared by: Javier García-Pereda (AEMET), Nina Håkansson, Sara Hörnquist (SMHI).



+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

12

Updates in NWC/PPS-HRW latest version:

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

- ➔ Processing extended to 16 polar satellites with AVHRR-3, VIIRS, MODIS, MERSI-2 (new) and SLSTR (new) radiometers. (AMVs with any combination of images from all these satellites).
- → AMV calculation extended to MODIS/WV067 cloudy and clear air AMVs, and MERSI-2 & MODIS/WV073 cloudy and clear air AMVs.
- ➔ Many running parameters of NWC/PPS-HRW retuned in the second version: better AMV densities (4 times more AMVs!) and fewer holes in the coverage.
- → NWC/PPS-HRW v7.Q is related to NWC/GEO-HRW v6.2, sharing the optimization in the running time, and a better distribution of AMVs in high/medium/low levels.



- → NWC/PPS-HRW provides AMVs beyond the ≈ 65° latitude limit defined in NWC/GEO-HRW.
- → The AMV temporal/spatial density in the first polar HRW version was smaller, but it is very similar in the second polar HRW version and the geostationary HRW version due to the retuning of its running parameters.
 - <u>Users of the first polar HRW version are requested to update the software</u> to the second polar HRW version for a much better use of the product!

+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

+

+

Comparing the AMV validation between the GEO and the two polar HRW versions:

EUMETSAT

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

Mel

Validation in the European region against Radiosounding winds	NWC/GEO-HRW v2021 (v6.2) Jul'09-Jun'10, 12:00Z	NWC/PPS-HRW v2021 (v7.P) Apr'20-Jun'20, 11:00Z-13:00Z	NWC/PPS-HRW v2021.3 (v7.Q Apr'20-Jun'20, 11:00Z-13:00/
NC	1164357	109905	41347
SPD [m/s]	15.54	19.08	17.6
NBIAS (ALL LAYERS)	-0.07	-0.05	-0.0
NMVD (100-1000 hPa)	0.33	0.36	0.3
NRMSVD	0.40	0.44	0.4
NC	407408	66059	19124:
SPD [m/s]	22.28	24.29	23.20
NBIAS (HIGH LAYER)	-0.04	-0.06	-0.0
NMVD (100-400 hPa)	0.26	0.34	0.3
NRMSVD	0.32	0.40	0.3
NC	377043	25985	12490
SPD [m/s]	13.99	12.90	13.9
NBIAS (MEDIUM LAYER)	-0.07	-0.03	-0.0
NMVD (400-700 hPa)	0.36	0.40	0.3
NRMSVD	0.44	0.48	0.4
NC	379906	17861	9732
SPD [m/s]	9.86	8.79	11.3
NBIAS (LOW LAYER)	-0.10	-0.02	-0.0
NMVD (700-1000 hPa)	0.42	0.48	0.3
NRMSVD	0.49	0.55	0.40

→ The improvement between the first and the second polar version is clear:

- Many more AMVs with better validation parameters.
- → Comparing the GEO version and the second polar version:
 - Statistics for the whole dataset of AMVs are similar
 - (with GEO AMVs better at the high layer; Polar AMVs better at the low layer).
 - Polar AMVs are more frequent in the high layer.

Preparation for MTG-I satellites

+

+

+

+

-

+

+ +

+

+

+

-

+

+ +

+

4





+

+

+

+ +

+

+

+

15

Considering HRW v7.0 inside NWC/GEO-I version MTG-I day 1, for release when MTG-I becomes operational:

- A) Main task: HRW to be defined operational for MTG-I
 - → Working in a similar way to what it is currently doing with Himawari-8/9 and GOES-R satellites.

B) Definition of HRW NetCDF optional outputs as fully "CF compliant".

→ For a better use by external applications (using the "CF conventions" as guidance).

C) Some improvements suggested by NWCSAF users:

→ F.ex.: Inclusion of "Aeronautical units (flight level)" in the HRW NetCDF outputs for use by aviation.

Plans for NWCSAF CDOP4 phase (up to 2027)

Agencia Estatal de Meteorologia

+

+

+

16

Plans for the following years

inside <u>"NWCSAF/CDOP4 phase (2022-2027)"</u>

agreed with EUMETSAT from Comments/Recommendations defined at:

- → 2016 and 2018 International Winds Workshops
- → 2019 NWCSAF Users Survey

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

+ +

+

+

→ 2020 NWCSAF Users Workshop

From the 2019 NWCSAF Users Survey:

- → HRW well considered among NWCSAF products:
 - Used by 55% of answers (second only to NWC/GEO-Cloud products).
 - With a 7.8/10 rate (after only NWC/GEO-Cloud and Convective products).



Plans for NWCSAF CDOP4 phase (up to 2027)		
Main planned changes for NWCSAF/HRW:		
C) Refinements in:		
AMV calculation with High resolution channels (for better AMV densities).		
AMV validation statistics in medium/low levels (there is still room for improvement here).		
D) Possible distribution of NWC/HRW outputs through Eumetcast		
Due to the big configurability of HRW product		
it is difficult to define a HRW option valid for everybody.		
However, if different users define what they need,		
and this is relatively similar, it can be considered.		
and more (please contact me for any more details).		

+

+

+

+

+

Sixteenth International Winds Workshop – Montréal, Canada, May 2023 18

18 + +

Plans for NWCSAF CDOP4 phase (up to 2027)

"Visiting Scientist Activities" with external institutions
have also been defined in the CDOP4 phase with following objectives:
A) New Intercomparisons every 4 years of AMV algorithms worldwide.
→ As already done in previous AMV Intercomparison studies.

B) Evaluation of the "AMV stereo height assignment"

Considering the parallax displacement of an AMV observed by two geostationary satellites in two different locations.

We keep the interest of having collaboration

Sixteenth International Winds Workshop – Montréal, Canada, May 2023

of experts in this task (James Carr, Dong Wu,...) for the implementation.

EUMETSAT

AFMET



