

Progress in Ocean Surface Vector Winds

Ocean Surafce Winds Task Group (OSW TG) Part of the IWWg



Objectives – Ocean Surface Winds Task Group – OSW TG

The OSW TG facilitates an open and shared environment to address key points for the general benefit of the meteorological/ocean community, such as:

- Intercalibration of wind products for Climate Data Records and operational users;
- An in-situ wind speed reference for high and extreme winds;
- Methods for the elimination of model OSW biases in NWP data assimilation (local VARBC);
- Improved spatial NWP wind assimilation methods; e.g., high density, errors inflated
- QC optimization for NWP (e.g., avoid moist convection);
- Open high-level wind services and timeliness of the virtual constellation;
- Open data comparisons and open software to share in the community;
- Exploit scatterometer wind stress measurements for improved atmosphere-ocean coupling; use 10-m stress-equivalent winds (<u>de Kloe et al., 2017</u>);
- Development of coastal winds for all scatterometers. The OSW TG is part of the IWWg and coordinates with CEOS and the IOVWST



The growing scatterometer constellation

- ASCAT-A, MetOp-A :
- ASCAT-B, MetOp-B:
- ASCAT-C, MetOp-C :
- OSCAT-2, ScatSat-1:
- OSCAT-3, OceanSat3 :
- HSCAT-B, HY2B :
- HSCAT-C, HY2C:
- HSCAT-D, HY2D :
- CSCAT, CFOSAT :

- 2007-2021
- 2012-healthy
- 2018- healthy
 - 2017- Feb 2021
 - Nov 2022 . . .
- 2018- healthy
- 2020-healthy
- 2021-healthy
 - 2019- demo
- WindRad, FY3E : 5/7/'21- healthy
- \geq https://scatterometer.knmi.nl/proc status/

- 9:30 LST, End-of-service November 2021
- 9:30 LST
- 9:30 LST, Excellent for wind changes in convection
- 8:45 LST, Excellent for Ku/C intercalibration
- 12:00 LST, in commissioning
- 6:00 LST
- Not sun-synchronous, regresses
- Regresses, development status
- Stability issues, nadir issues
- 5:30 LST, commissioning



- Reanalyses are subject to changing inputs
- https://scatterometer.knmi.nl/archived prod/

https://scatterometer.knmi.nl/hy2d 2 5 prod/index.php?cmd=monitoring&p

HY2D

eriod=week&day=0&flag=yes

Average MLE value of 1st rank wind solution





WindRad looks good and stable

Wind statistics with/without σ^0 Higher-order NWP Ocean Calibration (HOC)





Li et al., 2023

OSW TG key issues of relevance to CGMS:

- ESA <u>MAXSS</u> project on wind extremes made good progress providing consistent satellite extreme wind speeds among the different instruments and producer inputs, though uncertainty in in-situ wind speed references is high (<u>Stoffelen et al., 2021</u>);
- WMO International Workshop on Tropical Cyclones (IWTC-10) recommended an operational framework to ensure timely and valuable high-resolution SAR acquisitions of TCs;
- Progress in the commissioning and servicing of scatterometer winds, notably for the NSOAS HY2 series, CMA WindRad, the CFOSAT scatterometer and in preparations for ISRO's Oceansat-3, following OSW TG goals. Today, 7 scatterometers are operated in orbit. Of particular concern remains the uptake of scatterometer winds in NWP systems, due to lack of resources at NWP centers. A correction of geographical OSW model biases in the data assimilation procedure will be needed for an effective use of the virtual constellation of scatterometers that is now available, with potentially large beneficial impact on the NWP forecast quality (~2% per scatterometer?).
- Model biases in OSW, curl and divergence, limit realistic air-sea coupling in models and effective data assimilation



OSW TG international venues and outreach:

- Virtual International Ocean Vector Winds Science Team in 2022
- Reference-quality emission and backscatter modelling for the ocean (ISSI)
- Survey Geophysics (2023): <u>Satellite Remote Sensing of</u> <u>Surface Winds, Waves, and Currents: Where are we Now?</u>
- WMO 10th Int. Workshop Tropical Cyclones (<u>IWTC-10</u>)
- SeaSAR 2023





The SAR way to CYMS from R&D towards an operational service





SAR and Scatterometer winds



SAR

- Shows details of processes, in particular extremes, coastal and air-sea interaction, useful for scatterometer understanding
- Cannot capture the temporal variability of the atmosphere due to sparse sampling
- Are poorly calibrated with respect to scatterometers and with larger wind errors
- Different producers generate wind products with different characteristics

Scatterometers

- Scatterometers show much more details of mesoscale weather processes than global NWP models do
- The virtual international constellation of Chinese, European and Indian wind scatterometers can capture the temporal variability of the atmosphere on a sub-daily scale
- Scatterometers are generally very stable and well calibrated; NRCS and wind errors are well known and low as compared to in-situ data and model data
- The same empirical GMFs are used for different instruments (also for SAR)
- Very similar retrieval is used for different instruments
- The CGMS Ocean Surface Winds Task Group is tasked to standardize wind products for users

ASCAT resolution enhancement with SAR SAR



In development

Storm-centered background (max. R² centre) Empiricial "hurricane" spatial B error structure functions, depending on category Sensitivity tests for varying radii and rot/div ratio Now 12.5 km product, later 5.6 km Wind speed scaling is last step





Coordination Group for Meteorological Satellites <u>Ni et al., 2023</u>

ASCAT, ECMWF and SAR speed scale

Triple speed collocation ASCAT, <u>SAR</u>, ECMWF for matching



Ni et al., 2022 : SAR and ASCAT Tropical Cyclone Wind Speed Reconciliation (MAXSS)

ASCAT, ECMWF and SAR speed scale

Triple speed collocation ASCAT, <u>SAR</u>, ECMWF for matching



L3/L4 product uncertainty analysis

- King et al., 2022 show association of extreme ASCAT convergence and divergence to heavy rain
- ECMWF div. is close to Gaussian (straight line)
- Pencil-beam winds (HY) also show extremes, but particularly less extreme (small-scale) convergence
- How to deal with this in Copernicus L4 user products?



Probability of wind_divergence values in the region [-5, 15] DES January

Miguel Fernández et al., in preparation

KNMI cyclone visualization and EARS Early Warning



Warns fast in case of climatologically large deviation between ECMWF and ASCAT

To be considered by CGMS:

- For improved satellite wind speed calibration, collaboration on WMO level with in-situ experts and with dropsonde providers is recommended in order to better comprehend insitu measurement data and their accuracy in extreme conditions, which is of large societal relevance;
- Encouragement and support from satellite agencies would accelerate the effective use of the OSW that they produce in NWP with potentially large effect on forecast quality of the extending virtual scatterometer constellation;
- To define an official international operational framework to ensure timely and valuable high-resolution SAR acquisitions of Tropical Cyclones.





Plenary OSW:

Wind extremes calibration

- To what in-situ winds would you tune your model (drag)?
- Any need for a consolidated physical in-situ reference?

Encouragement and support for the effective use of the constellation

- Are you ready to exploit the constellation?
- How do you correct large geographical model biases?
- What is needed for ocean coupling and earth system dynamics?
- What support would be needed (manpower, data, open tools, advice)?

Operational framework high-resolution SAR acquisitions of Tropical Cyclones

Need for coastal winds (wind farming, civil protection, ocean forcing . . .)

Any other OSW needs, observations, . . .

OSW priorities?

