

TWO STAGE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ALGORITHM FOR CALCULATING MOISTURE- TRACKING ATMOSPHERIC MOTION VECTORS




Amir Ouyed¹, Xubin Zeng¹, Longtao Wu², Derek Posselt²,
and Hui Su²

¹Department of Hydrology, University of Arizona

²Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Many sources of wind velocity observations, but all have problems.






RADIOSONDE



No BUFR
Reformatted
Low-res BUFR
High-res BUFR
Ship
Land

ECMWF


FEATURE TRACKING + SATELLITE IMAGING



**Warning:
sparse
vertically!**

Garand, Louis, et al. "OSSE to infer the impact of Arctic AMVs extracted from highly elliptical orbit imagery."

Method: Reduce noise of multiscale moisture tracking by combining it with a machine learning algorithm that “learns” from short-term forecasting:



Two stage algorithm: Process satellite images with feature tracking and correct it with short-term forecast data at same timestep.

$$\text{fsUA} \quad \mathbf{V}^*(t) = f_{\text{variational}}(\mathbf{q}(t - \Delta t), \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{q}(t + \Delta t))$$

$$\text{UA} \quad \mathbf{V}(t) = f_{\text{RF}}(\mathbf{V}^*(t), \mathbf{V}_{\text{NWP}}(t))$$

$\mathbf{v}_{\text{NWP}}(t)$: forecasted horizontal wind field at time t from operational model.

Ouyed et al. 2021,
submitted

Forecast error is calculated from reanalysis differences.


$$\|\epsilon_{\text{forecast}}\| \sim \|v_{\text{ERA5}}(t) - v_{\text{CFSR}}(t)\|$$

$$v_{\text{NWP}}(t) = v_{\text{G5NR}}(t) + \epsilon_{\text{forecast}}$$

$$v_{\text{ground truth}}(t) = v_{\text{G5NR}}(t)$$


January 1, 2006, 0:00 (UT)

Forecast Error



UA performs much better than other algorithms.


850 hPa, dt=1 hour,
January 1, 2006,
0:00 (UT)



Ouyed et al. 2021,
submitted

Results: UA performs much better than forecast error and traditional algorithm.


Ouyed et al. 2021, submitted



January 1-3,
2006

UA produces high resolution AMVs.

850 hPa, dt=1
hour, January 1,
2006, 0:00 (UT)




Ouyed et al. 2021,
submitted


UA corrects even error prone regions like points where water vapor isolines are parallel to motion.

Ouyed et al. 2021,
submitted

January 1-3, 2006,
850 hPa, $dt = 1$
hour



UA handles the upper troposphere well.



Ouyed et al. 2021,
submitted

UA passed various robustness tests, with error within 3 m/s in all of them.

- UA robust to random sampling of training data (standard deviation < 0.2 m/s).
- UA robust to collocation error.
- UA robust to simulated satellite retrieval error

Conclusion

- UA performs much better than the traditional algorithm.
- UA excels under difficult conditions such as low moisture or when moisture isolines are parallel to velocity.
- These results act as a lower bound of error for UA, since they are based on model data, rather than satellite imaging.